

# Bilevel Vector Pseudomonotone Equilibrium Problems: Duality and Existence\*

Jiawei Chen<sup>†</sup>, Yeong-Cheng Liou<sup>‡</sup>, and Ching-Feng Wen<sup>§</sup>

<sup>†</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Information Management, Cheng Shiu University

<sup>§</sup>Center for General Education, Kaohsiung Medical University

<sup>†</sup>[J.W.Chen713@163.com](mailto:J.W.Chen713@163.com)

<sup>‡</sup>[simplex-liou@hotmail.com](mailto:simplex-liou@hotmail.com)

<sup>§</sup>[scfwen@kmu.edu.tw](mailto:scfwen@kmu.edu.tw)

## ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is devoted to investigate the duality and existence of solutions for a class of bilevel vector pseudomonotone equilibrium problems without involving the information about the solution set of the lower-level equilibrium problem. Firstly, we propose the dual formulations of bilevel vector equilibrium problems (BVEP). Secondly, the primal-dual relationships are derived under cone-convexity and weak pseudo-monotonicity assumptions. Finally, the existence of solutions of BVEP are established without involving the information about the solution set of the lower-level problem.

**Key Words:** Bilevel vector pseudomonotone equilibrium problem; Duality; Existence; Pseudomonotonicity.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that equilibrium problem is closely related to optimization and control problems, games theory, variational inequalities problems, complementarity problems and fixed point problems, as well as mechanics and physics (see [1,6,21,23,28,29] and the references therein). Equilibrium problem which is also called Ky Fan inequality, was firstly introduced by Blum and Oettli.

Thereafter, various types of equilibrium problems were intensively studied (see [2,22,25,26] and the references therein). Dual optimization problems play an crucial role in the study of optimization and equilibrium theory and methods (see [1,3,20,24,27] and the references therein). In [25], Konnov and Yao investigated existence of solutions for generalized vector equilibrium problems by using dual method and Fan's lemma. Konnov and Schaible[24] proposed various duals for an abstract equilibrium problem, established the primal-dual relationships under some generalized convexity and monotonicity assumptions, and derived existence results by using the duality. Further,

Ansari, Siddiqi and Wu[3] generalized the results of Konnov and Schaible [24] to generalized vector equilibrium problems in a real topological vector space. In [20], Farajzadeh and Lee considered the existence of solutions for dual vector equilibrium problems (DVEP) for a moving cone, and presented the relations between DVEP and its perturbations in a real topological vector space.

In 2010, Moudafi [30] introduced a class of bilevel equilibrium problem (shortly, (BEP)) which is to find  $x \in S_f$  such that

$$g(x, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in S_f,$$

where  $S_f$  is the solution set of the following equilibrium problem: find

$u \in K$  such that

$$f(u, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in K,$$

where  $K$  is a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a Hilbert space and  $f, g: K \times K \rightarrow R$  are two functions. He pointed out that this class is absorbing since it includes hierarchical optimization problems, optimization with equilibrium, variational inequalities, complementarity constraints as special cases. Also, by using the proximal method, an iterative algorithm to compute approximate solution of BEP and the weak convergence of the iterative sequence generated by the algorithm were suggested and derived, respectively. Since then, Ding[12,13,14,15] and Ding, Liou and Yao[16] generalized the BEP to the bilevel generalized mixed equilibrium problems in reflexive Banach space, established the existence results of solutions for the mixed equilibrium problems and the bilevel mixed equilibrium problems by using minimax inequality. By using auxiliary principle technique, they also constructed some iterative algorithms for solving the mixed equilibrium problems and bilevel mixed equilibrium problems, and derived the strong convergence of the proposed algorithms under suitable assumptions. Chen et al.[8,9] further explored the existence, well-posedness and algorithms for BEP by using fixed point method. Dinh and Muu [17] studied a class of bilevel pseudomonotone equilibrium problems by penalty function method, and proved that under the pseudo- $\nabla$ -monotonicity, any stationary point of a regularized gap function is a solution of the penalized equilibrium problem. Chadli et al. [7] also discussed the existence and algorithmic aspects of a class of bilevel mixed equilibrium problems in Banach spaces, and then constructed an iterative algorithm by the auxiliary

problem. They also proved that a sequence generated by the proposed algorithm is strongly convergent to a solution of the bilevel mixed equilibrium problem. Very recently, Anh, Kim and Muu[1] analyzed the convergence of an extragradient algorithm for a class of bilevel pseudomonotone variational inequality which is a special model of the BEP in [30]. In [2], Anh, Khanh and Van gave some sufficient conditions for the well-posedness and unique well-posedness to the bilevel equilibrium and optimization problems with equilibrium constraints under the assumptions of existence of solutions and the relaxed level closedness and pseudocontinuity. Very recently, Facchinei et al. [18] suggested some iterative algorithms for hemivariational inequalities with variational inequality constraints, which is also a special case of BEP in [30], by inexact Prox-Tikhonov method and distributed method, and applied to power control in ad-hoc networks. *It is worth noting that many authors studied the existence of solutions and iterative algorithms for bilevel equilibrium problems and bilevel variational inequalities involving the information about the solution set of the lower-level problem. Moreover, there are little results concerning the duality and existence of solution for bilevel vector equilibrium problems.*

Motivated and inspired by the ongoing research in this direction, the aim of this paper is devoted to investigate the duality and existence of solution for a class of bilevel vector pseudomonotone equilibrium problems without involving the information about the solution set of the lower-level equilibrium problem. Firstly, we propose the dual formulations of bilevel vector equilibrium problems (BVEP). Secondly, the primal-dual relationships

are derived under cone-convexity and weak pseudo-monotonicity assumptions. Finally, existence of solutions of BVEP are established without involving the information about the solution set of the lower-level problem.

Throughout this paper, let  $E, H$  and  $Z$  be finite dimensional Euclidean spaces,  $K$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$ ,  $\Phi: K \times K \rightarrow H$  and  $\Psi: K \times K \rightarrow Z$  be vector-valued mappings, and let  $C \subseteq H$  and  $Q \subseteq Z$  be closed, convex and pointed cones with nonempty interior  $\text{int}C \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{int}Q \neq \emptyset$ . Recall that a subset  $B$  of  $H$  is said to be a convex and pointed cone if  $B + B = B, B \cap (-B) = \{0\}$  and  $\mu b \in B$  for all  $\mu > 0$  and  $b \in B$ . The dual cone of  $B$  is denoted by

$$B^* = \{u \in H: x^T u \geq 0, \forall x \in B\}.$$

Consider the following *bilevel vector equilibrium problem* (shortly, (BVEP)):

Find  $x^* \in S_\Psi$  such that

$$\Phi(x^*, y) \notin -\text{int}C, \forall y \in S_\Psi, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $S_\Psi$  is the solution set of the lower-level equilibrium problem:

Find  $y^* \in K$  such that

$$\Psi(y^*, z) \notin -\text{int}Q, \forall z \in K. \quad (1.2)$$

Denote the solution set of the BVEP (1.1) with (1.2) by  $S$ .

### Special cases:

(I) If  $\Phi(x, y) = f(y) - f(x)$ , where  $f: K \rightarrow H$  is vector-valued, then the BVEP (1.1) with (1.2) reduces to the following multiobjective programming with equilibrium constraints (MPEC):

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{y \in S_\Psi} f(y) \quad \text{subject to} \quad y \in S_\Psi. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $S_\Psi$  is the solution set of the lower-level equilibrium problem (1.2). The MPEC (1.3) cover various types of optimization with equilibrium,

variational inequality, complementarity and inclusions as constraints (see [2,11,31,27,29] and the references therein).

(II) If  $H = (-\infty, +\infty)$  and  $C = Q = [0, +\infty)$ , then the BVEP (1.1) with (1.2) reduces to the following bilevel equilibrium problem:

Find  $x^* \in S_\Psi$  such that

$$\Phi(x^*, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in S_\Psi, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $S_\Psi = \{y \in K: \Psi(y, z) \geq 0, \forall z \in K\}$ .

For a suitable choice of  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ , this class, which was firstly introduced by Moudafi [30], includes many types of bilevel equilibrium problems such as bilevel generalized mixed (quasi) equilibrium problem, bilevel generalized mixed quasi-variational-like inequality problem, bilevel mixed equilibrium problem, bilevel pseudomonotone equilibrium problem and variational inequality with variational inequality constraints (see [9,12,13,14,15,16,17] and the references therein), and has been greatly applied to economics and managementsciences, decision-making disciplines, engineering, power control systems and so on (see [4,18] and the references therein).

## 2. Notions and facts

The following notions and results, which are mostly well known, are recalled here for the reader's convenience.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\psi: K \times K \rightarrow Z$  be a vector-valued mapping.  $\psi$  is called:

(1)  $Q$ -convex with respect to the second argument if, for any given  $x \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & t\psi(x, y) + (1-t)\psi(x, w) - \psi(x, ty \\ & \quad + (1-t)w) \\ & \in Q, \forall y, w \in K, t \\ & \in (0,1); \end{aligned}$$

(2) *affine* with respect to the second argument if, for any given  $x \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, ty + (1-t)w) \\ &= t\psi(x, y) + (1-t)\psi(x, w), \quad \forall y, w \\ &\in K, t \in (-\infty, +\infty); \end{aligned}$$

(3) *hemicontinuous* with respect to the first argument if, for any given  $x \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \searrow 0} \psi(ty + (1-t)w, x) \\ &= \psi(w, x), \quad \forall y, w \\ &\in K. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that if  $\psi: K \times K \rightarrow Z$  is affine with respect to the second argument, then it is  $Q$ -convex with respect to the second argument.

**Definition 2.** [22] Let  $\psi: K \times K \rightarrow Z$  be a vector-valued mapping.  $\psi$  is called:

(1) *weakly  $Q$ -pseudomonotone* if, for any  $x, y \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, y) \notin -\text{int } Q \\ \Rightarrow \psi(y, x) \notin \text{int } Q; \end{aligned}$$

(2)  *$Q$ -pseudomonotone* if, for any  $x, y \in K$ ,

$$\psi(x, y) \notin -\text{int } Q \Rightarrow \psi(y, x) \in -Q;$$

(3) *strictly  $Q$ -pseudomonotone* if, for any  $x, y \in K, x \neq y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, y) \notin -\text{int } Q \Rightarrow \psi(y, x) \\ \in -\text{int } Q. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the strict  $C$ -pseudomonotonicity  $\Rightarrow$   $C$ -pseudomonotonicity  $\Rightarrow$  the weak  $C$ -pseudomonotonicity.

**Fact 3.** [10] Let  $\Delta$  be a convex cone of  $Z$  with  $\text{int } \Delta \neq \emptyset$  and its dual cone  $\Delta^*$ . The following hold:

(1) If  $u \in \text{int } \Delta$ , then  $x^T u > 0$  for all  $x \in \Delta^* \setminus \{0\}$ , where the superscript  $T$  denotes the transpose;

(2) If  $x \in \text{int } \Delta^*$ , then  $x^T u > 0$  for all  $u \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Fact 4.** [19] Let  $D$  be a nonempty,

convex subset of a finite dimensional Euclidean space  $E$ ,  $F: D \rightarrow 2^E$  be a KKM mapping, i.e., for every finite subset  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$  of  $D$ ,  $\text{co } \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$  is contained in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^m F(x_i)$  where  $\text{co}$  denotes the convex hull, such that for any  $x \in D, F(x)$  is closed and  $F(x^*)$  is bounded for some  $x^* \in D$ . Then there exists  $y^* \in D$  such that  $y^* \in F(x)$  for all  $x \in D$ , i.e.,  $\bigcap_{x \in D} F(x) \neq \emptyset$ .

### 3. DUALITY FOR (BVEP)

In this section, we propose the dual of bilevel vector equilibrium problem (shortly, (DBVEP)), and establish the equivalence between DBVEP and BVEP under some suitable conditions.

Motivated by Konnov and Schaible [24] and Ansari, Siddiqi and Wu [3], we propose the following the dual formulation of BVEP:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Find } x^* \in S_{\Psi}^d \text{ such that} \\ \Phi(y, x^*) \notin \text{int } C, \quad \forall y \\ \in S_{\Psi}^d, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $S_{\Psi}^d$  is the solution set of the lower-level equilibrium problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Find } y^* \in K \text{ such that} \\ \Psi(z, y^*) \notin \text{int } Q, \quad \forall z \\ \in K. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Denote the solution set of the DBVEP (3.1) with (3.2) by  $S^d$ .

We now establish the equivalence between DBVEP and BVEP.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $K$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$ ,  $\Phi: K \times K \rightarrow H$  and  $\Psi: K \times K \rightarrow Z$  be vector-valued mappings. Assume that the following conditions hold:

(1)  $\Psi(x, x) \in Q$  and  $\Phi(x, x) \in C$  for all  $x \in K$ ;

(2)  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  are hemicontinuous with respect to the first argument;

(3)  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  are  $Q$ -convex and  $C$ -convex with respect to the second argument, respectively;

(4)  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  are weakly  $Q$ -pseudomonotone and weakly  $C$ -pseudomonotone, respectively.

If  $S_\Psi$  is nonempty closed and convex, then BVEP and DBVEP are equivalent, i.e.,  $S = S^d$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x^* \in S$ . Then  $\Phi(x^*, y) \notin -\text{int } C$  for all  $y \in S_\Psi$  and  $\Psi(x^*, z) \notin -\text{int } Q$  for all  $z \in K$ . This together with condition (4) yields that

$$\Phi(y, x^*) \notin \text{int } C, \quad \forall y \in S_\Psi,$$

and

$$\Psi(z, x^*) \notin \text{int } Q, \quad \forall z \in K.$$

If  $S_\Psi = S_\Psi^d$ , then  $x^* \in S^d$ .

To this end, we prove that  $S_\Psi = S_\Psi^d$ . Then  $S_\Psi \subseteq S_\Psi^d$  follows from the condition (4). On the other hand, let  $\bar{y} \in S_\Psi^d$ . For any  $z \in K$ , set  $z_\lambda = \lambda z + (1 - \lambda)\bar{y}$  for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $z_\lambda \in K$  for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and,  $\Psi(z_\lambda, z_\lambda) \in Q$ . Since  $\Psi$  is  $Q$ -convex with respect to the second argument, one has

$$\lambda\Psi(z_\lambda, z) + (1 - \lambda)\Psi(z_\lambda, \bar{y}) - \Psi(z_\lambda, z_\lambda) \in Q.$$

Taking into account  $\Psi(z_\lambda, z_\lambda) \in Q$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda\Psi(z_\lambda, z) + (1 - \lambda)\Psi(z_\lambda, \bar{y}) \\ & \in Q + \Psi(z_\lambda, z_\lambda) \subseteq Q. \end{aligned} \quad (0.1)$$

Claim that  $\Psi(z_\lambda, z) \notin -\text{int } Q$ .

Suppose that  $\Psi(z_\lambda, z) \in -\text{int } Q$ . Then  $-\lambda\Psi(z_\lambda, z) \in \text{int } Q$ . (0.2)

It follows from (0.1) and (0.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda)\Psi(z_\lambda, \bar{y}) \in Q - \lambda\Psi(z_\lambda, z) \\ & \subseteq Q + \text{int } Q \subseteq \text{int } Q. \end{aligned}$$

This combine with  $1 - \lambda > 0$  that  $\Psi(z_\lambda, \bar{y}) \in \text{int } Q$ , which contradicts  $\bar{y} \in S_\Psi^d$ . Therefore

$$\Psi(z_\lambda, z) \in Z \setminus (-\text{int } Q). \quad (0.3)$$

Since  $\Psi$  is hemicontinuous with respect to the first argument, and from (0.3), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \Psi(z_\lambda, z) &= \Psi(\bar{y}, z) \\ &\in Z \setminus (-\text{int } Q), \quad \forall z \in K. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\Psi(\bar{y}, z) \notin -\text{int } Q$  for all  $z \in K$ . Then  $\bar{y} \in S_\Psi$  and so,

$S_\Psi^d \subseteq S_\Psi$ . Therefore,  $S_\Psi^d = S_\Psi$ .

Conversely, let  $x^* \in S^d$ . Then  $x^* \in S_\Psi^d$  and

$$\Phi(y, x^*) \notin \text{int } C, \quad \forall y \in S_\Psi^d.$$

According to  $S_\Psi = S_\Psi^d$ ,  $x^* \in S_\Psi$ . Hence

$$\Psi(x^*, z) \notin -\text{int } Q, \quad \forall z \in K. \quad (0.4)$$

Let  $\bar{K} = S_\Psi^d$ . By the same argument,  $\Phi(x^*, y) \notin -\text{int } C$  for all  $y \in \bar{K}$ . Therefore  $x^* \in S_\Psi$  such that  $\Phi(x^*, y) \notin -\text{int } C$  for all  $y \in S_\Psi$ . This combine with (0.4) implies that  $x^* \in S$ .

**Remark 2.** The dual formulation of BVEP is distinct from that of Konnov and Schaible [24], Ansari, Siddiqi and Wu [3] and Huang, Li and Thompson[22]. Generally, the dual of DBVEP is not the primal BVEP unless their lower-level equilibrium problems are equivalent.

**Corollary 3.** Let  $K$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$ ,  $\Phi: K \times K \rightarrow H$  and  $\Psi: K \times K \rightarrow Z$  be vector-valued mappings. Assume that the conditions (1),(2),(4) of Theorem 1, and the following hold:

(3)  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  are affine with respect to the second argument.

If  $S_\Psi$  is nonempty closed and convex, then BVEP and DBVEP are equivalent, i.e.,  $S = S^d$ .

*Proof.* Follows readily from Theorem 1.

**Corollary 4.** Let  $K$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $E$ ,  $\Phi: K \times K \rightarrow H$  and  $\Psi: K \times K \rightarrow Z$  be vector-valued mappings. Assume that the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $\Psi(x, x) \in Q$  for all  $x \in K$ ;
- (2)  $\Psi$  is hemicontinuous with respect to the first argument;
- (3)  $\Psi$  is  $Q$ -convex with respect to the second argument;
- (4)  $\Psi$  is weakly  $Q$ -pseudomonotone.

Then  $S_{\Psi} = S_{\Psi}^d$ .

*Proof.* Inspect the proof of Theorem 1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P.N. Anh, J.K. Kim and L.D. Muu, An extragradient algorithm for solving bilevel pseudomonotone variational inequalities. *J. Glob. Optim.* 52(2012), 627–639.
- [2] L.Q. Anh, P.Q. Khanh and D.T.M. Van, Well-posedness under relaxed semicontinuity for bilevel equilibrium and optimization problems with equilibrium constraints. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 153(2012), 42–59.
- [3] Q.H. Ansari, A.H. Siddiqi and S.Y. Wu, Existence and duality of generalized vector equilibrium problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 259 (2001), 115–126.
- [4] G.C. Bento, J.X. Cruz Neto, P.A. Soares Jr et al., Proximal algorithms with Bregman distances for bilevel equilibrium problems with application to the problem of “how routines form and change” in economics and management sciences, arXiv:1401.4865.
- [5] M. Bianchi, N. Hadjisavvas and S. Schaible, Vector equilibrium problems with generalized monotone bifunctions. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 92(1997), 527–542.
- [6] E. Blum and W. Oettli, From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems. *Math. Stud.* 63(1994), 123–145
- [7] O. Chadli, H. Mahdioui and J.C. Yao, Bilevel mixed equilibrium problems in Banach spaces: existence and algorithmic aspects. *Numer. Algebra Cont. Optim.* 1(3)(2011), 549–561.
- [8] J. Chen, Z. Wan and Y.J. Cho, The existence of solutions and well-posedness for bilevel mixed equilibrium problems in Banach spaces. *Taiwanese J. Math.* 17(2013), 725–748.
- [9] J. Chen, Z. Wan and Y.Z. Zou, Bilevel invex equilibrium problems with applications. *Optim. Lett.* 8(2014), 447–461.
- [10] B.D. Craven, *Control and Optimization*, Chapman & Hall, 1995.
- [11] S. Dempe, Annotated bibliography on bilevel programming and mathematical programs with equilibrium constraints. *Optim.* 52(2003), 333–359.
- [12] X.P. Ding, Auxiliary principle and algorithm for mixed equilibrium problems and bilevel mixed equilibrium problems in Banach spaces. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 146(2010), 347–357.
- [13] X.P. Ding, Existence and algorithm of solutions for mixed equilibrium problems and bilevel mixed equilibrium problems in Banach spaces. *Acta Math. Sin. Eng. Ser.* 28(2011), 503–514.
- [14] X.P. Ding, Bilevel generalized mixed equilibrium problems involving generalized mixed variational-like inequality problems in reflexive Banach spaces. *Appl. Math. Mech.-Eng. Ed.* 32(11)(2011), 1457–1474.
- [15] X.P. Ding, Existence and iterative algorithm of solutions for a class of bilevel generalized mixed equilibrium problems in Banach spaces. *J. Glob. Optim.* 53(2012), 525–537.
- [16] X.P. Ding, Y.C. Liou and J.C. Yao, Existence and algorithms for bilevel generalized mixed equilibrium problems in Banach spaces. *J. Glob. Optim.* 53(2012), 331–346.
- [17] B.V. Dinh and L.D. Muu, On penalty and gap function methods for bilevel equilibrium problems. *J. Appl. Math.* Vol. 2011, Article ID 646452, 14 pp.
- [18] F. Facchinei, J.S. Pang, G. Scutari et al., VI-constrained hemivariational inequalities: distributed algorithms and power control in ad-hoc networks. *Math. Program. Ser. A* 145(2014), 59–96.
- [19] K. Fan, A generalization of Tychonoff’s fixed point theorem. *Math. Ann.* 142(1961), 305–310.
- [20] A.P. Farajzadeh and B.S. Lee, On dual vector equilibrium problems. *Appl. math. Lett.* 25(2012), 974–979.
- [21] F. Giannessi, *Vector Variational Inequalities and Vector Equilibrium*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [22] N.J. Huang, J. Li and B.H. Thompson, Implicit vector equilibrium problems with applications. *Math. Comput. Model.* 37(2003), 1343–1356.
- [23] I.V. Konnov, On vector equilibrium and vector variational inequality problems, In: Hadjisavvas, N., Martinez-Legaz, J.E. and Penot, J.P. (ed.), *Generalized Convexity and Generalized Monotonicity*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [24] I.V. Konnov and S. Schaible, Duality for equilibrium problems under generalized monotonicity. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 104 (2000), 395–408.
- [25] I.V. Konnov and J.C. Yao, Existence solutions for generalized vector equilibrium problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 223 (1999), 328–335.
- [26] J. Li, N.J. Huang and J.K. Kim, On implicit vector equilibrium problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 283 (2003), 501–512.
- [27] L.J. Lin, Existence theorems for bilevel problem with applications to mathematical program with equilibrium constraint and semi-infinite problem. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 137 (2008), 27–40.
- [28] Y.C. Liou and J.C. Yao, Bilevel decision via variational inequalities. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 49(2005), 1243–1253.
- [29] Z.Q. Luo, J.S. Pang and D. Ralph, *Mathematical Programs with Equilibrium Constraints*, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [30] A. Moudafi, Proximal methods for a class of bilevel monotone equilibrium problems. *J. Glob. Optim.* 47(2010), 287–292.
- [31] B.S. Mordukhovich, Multiobjective optimization problems with equilibrium constraints, *Math. Program. Ser. B* 117(2009), 331–354.